

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

12

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,543	10/1	18/2001	Peter ten Dijke	CIBT-P04-523	7785	
28120	7590	12/18/2002				
ROPES & C		EXA	EXAMINER			
ONE INTER BOSTON, M				LANDSMA	LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	-		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/982,543	DIJKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert Landsman	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Despensive to communication(s) filed on					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐	,	is action is non-final.	ocception as to the marits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	•	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/982,543

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## 1. Election/Restriction

A. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 8-10, drawn to a method for identifying an OP-1 receptor binding analog and a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- II. Claims 6-7, drawn to a method for producing an OP-1 receptor binding analog, class and subclass undeterminable.
- III. Claims 11-14, drawn to an OP-1 receptor binding analog, class and subclass undeterminable.
- IV. Claims 15-18, drawn to an isolated ligand-receptor complex, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- V. Claims 19-21, drawn to an isolated binding partner with specific affinity for an epitope, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VI. Claims 22-23, drawn to the use of an OP-1 receptor binding analog, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VII. Claim 24, drawn to the use of a protein in antagonizing OP-1 binding to a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- VIII. Claim 25, drawn to a method of antagonizing activin binding to a cell surface receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- IX. Claim 26, drawn to a method of antagonizing BMP-4 binding to a cell surface receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- X. Claim 27 in part, drawn to the use of an OP-1 receptor binding analog to antagonize activin binding, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- XI. Claim 27 in part, drawn to the use of an OP-1 receptor binding analog to antagonize BMP-4 binding, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III, IV and V are independent and distinct, each from each other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,543

Art Unit: 1647

Inventions I, II, VII, VIII and IX are unrelated to Inventions III, IV, V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Invention III and VI, X, XI are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product MPEP § 806.05(h).

Inventions IV, V are unrelated to Inventions VI, X, XI. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions I, II, VI - XI are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

C. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 (h).

Furthermore, if Applicants elect Group I, IV, VI-XI, or any other Group claiming multiple SEQ ID NOs, Applicants are required to elect a single SEQ ID NO to be searched as part of the elected Group. This includes election of a single primer, as seen in claim 1.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/982,543

Art Unit: 1647

## Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 December 17, 2002

Darte